

Morita Equivalence, Picard Groupoids and Noncommutative Field Theories

Stefan Waldmann*

Fakultät für Mathematik und Physik
Physikalisches Institut
Albert-Ludwigs-Universität Freiburg
Hermann Herder Strasse 3
D-79104 Freiburg
Germany

April 2003

FR-THEP 2003/06

Abstract

In this article we review recent developments on Morita equivalence of star products and their Picard groups. We point out the relations between noncommutative field theories and deformed vector bundles which give the Morita equivalence bimodules.

1 Introduction: Noncommutative Field Theories

Noncommutative field theory has recently become a very active field in mathematical physics, see e.g. [16, 21, 31, 12, 30] to mention just a few references. Many additional references can be found in the recent review [33] as well as in these proceedings.

The purpose of this note is to point out some mathematical structures underlying the noncommutative field theories and the relations to deformation quantization [2] and Morita equivalence of star products [6, 8, 22].

In the commutative framework the (matter) fields are geometrically described by sections $\mathcal{E} = \Gamma^\infty(E)$ of some vector bundle $E \rightarrow M$ over the space-time manifold M . We consider here a complex vector bundle E . Since a field $\phi \in \mathcal{E}$ can be multiplied by a function $f \in C^\infty(M)$ and since clearly $(\phi f)g = \phi(fg)$ we obtain a (right) module structure of $\mathcal{E}$ over the algebra of smooth complex-valued functions $C^\infty(M)$. Gauge transformations are encoded in the action of the sections of the endomorphism bundle $\Gamma^\infty(\text{End}(E))$, i.e. $A \in \Gamma^\infty(\text{End}(E))$ can be applied to a field ϕ by pointwise multiplication $A\phi$. In this way, $\mathcal{E}$ becomes a $\Gamma^\infty(\text{End}(E))$ left module. Moreover, the action of $\Gamma^\infty(\text{End}(E))$ commutes with the action of $C^\infty(M)$

$$(A\phi)f = A(\phi f), \quad (1)$$

whence the space of fields $\mathcal{E}$ becomes a *bimodule* over the algebras $\Gamma^\infty(\text{End}(E))$ and $C^\infty(M)$.

*Stefan.Waldmann@physik.uni-freiburg.de

In order to formulate not only the kinematics but also the dynamics we need a Lagrange density $\mathcal{L}$ for E . Geometrically, this is a function on the first jet bundle of E . A particular important piece in $\mathcal{L}$ is the *mass term* which is encoded in a *Hermitian fibre metric* h_0 for E . Recall that a Hermitian fibre metric is a map

$$h_0 : \mathcal{E} \times \mathcal{E} \rightarrow C^\infty(M) \quad (2)$$

such that h_0 is $C^\infty(M)$ -linear in the second argument, $h_0(\phi, \psi) = \overline{h_0(\psi, \phi)}$ and one has the positivity

$$h_0(\phi, \phi)(x) > 0 \quad \text{iff} \quad \phi(x) \neq 0. \quad (3)$$

Then the mass term in $\mathcal{L}$ is just $h_0(\phi, \phi)$ and the last condition (3) is the positivity of the masses. Note that such a Hermitian fibre metric is also used to encode geometrically some polynomial interaction terms like ϕ^4 . Hence it is of major importance to have a definiteness like (3).

We presented this well-known geometrical formulation, see e.g. the textbook [35], in order to motivate now the noncommutative analogs. The main idea is that at some scale (Planck, etc.) the space-time itself behaves in a noncommutative fashion. One way to encode this noncommutative nature is to consider a star product $\star$ on M which makes the algebra of functions $C^\infty(M)$ into a noncommutative algebra. Here we consider formal star products for convenience, see [2] as well as [15, 18] for recent reviews and further references.

Thus let π be a Poisson tensor on the space-time M and let $\star$ be a formal star product for π , i.e. a $\mathbb{C}[[\lambda]]$ -bilinear associative multiplication for $C^\infty(M)[[\lambda]]$,

$$f \star g = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \lambda^r C_r(f, g), \quad (4)$$

with some bidifferential operators C_r such that $C_0(f, g) = fg$ is the undeformed product and $C_1(f, g) - C_1(g, f) = i\{f, g\}$ gives the Poisson bracket corresponding to π . Moreover, we assume $f \star 1 = f = 1 \star f$ and $\overline{f \star g} = \overline{g} \star \overline{f}$. The formal parameter λ corresponds to the scale where the noncommutativity becomes important. Two star products are called *equivalent* if there is a formal series $T = \text{id} + \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \lambda^r T_r$ of differential operators T_r such that $T(f \star g) = T f \star' T g$. See [14, 17, 27, 23] for existence and [25, 3, 38, 13, 23] for the classification of such star products up to equivalence.

In order to give a geometrical framework of noncommutative field theories we want a deformed picture of the above bimodule structure. Thus we look for a right module structure $\bullet$ on the space $\Gamma^\infty(E)[[\lambda]]$ with respect to the algebra $C^\infty(M)[[\lambda]]$. Thus $\bullet$ is a $\mathbb{C}[[\lambda]]$ -bilinear map

$$\phi \bullet f = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \lambda^r R_r(\phi, f), \quad (5)$$

where $R_r : \Gamma^\infty(E) \times C^\infty(M) \rightarrow \Gamma^\infty(E)$ is a bidifferential operator with $R_0(\phi, f) = \phi f$ and

$$(\phi \bullet f) \bullet g = \phi \bullet (f \star g) \quad \text{and} \quad \phi \bullet 1 = \phi. \quad (6)$$

This gives the right module structure. But we also need an associative deformation $\star'$ of $\Gamma^\infty(\text{End}(E))$ and a left module structure $\bullet'$ such that we have

$$(A \star' B) \bullet' \phi = A \bullet' (B \bullet' \phi), \quad 1 \bullet' \phi = \phi \quad \text{and} \quad A \bullet' (\phi \bullet f) = (A \bullet' \phi) \bullet f. \quad (7)$$

This gives then a deformed bimodule structure on $\Gamma^\infty(E)$.

If we are interested in the analog of the Hermitian metric h_0 then we want a $\mathbb{C}[[\lambda]]$ -sesquilinear deformation $\mathbf{h} = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \lambda^r h_r$ of h_0 where $h_r : \Gamma^\infty(E) \times \Gamma^\infty(E) \rightarrow C^\infty(M)$ such that

$$\mathbf{h}(\phi, \psi \bullet f) = \mathbf{h}(\phi, \psi) \star f, \quad (8)$$

$$\mathbf{h}(\phi, \psi) = \overline{\mathbf{h}(\psi, \phi)}, \quad (9)$$

$$\mathbf{h}(\phi, \phi) \text{ is positive,} \quad (10)$$

$$\mathbf{h}(A \bullet' \phi, \psi) = \mathbf{h}(\phi, A^* \bullet' \psi). \quad (11)$$

The positivity in (10) is understood in the sense of $\ast$ -algebras over ordered rings, see [6]. In the case of a vector bundle this just means that $\mathbf{h}(\phi, \phi)$ can be written as a sum of squares $\sum_i \bar{f}_i \star f_i$.

Having this structure one obtains a *framework* for noncommutative field theories *beyond* the usual formulations on a flat space-time with trivial vector bundle, very much in the spirit of Connes' noncommutative geometry [11]. To formulate a physical theory one needs of course much more, like an action principle, convergence in the deformation parameter λ , a quantization of this still classical theory, etc. All these questions shall not be addressed in this work. Instead, we shall focus on the question whether and how one can prove existence, construct, and classify the structures $\bullet$, $\bullet'$, $\star'$ and $\mathbf{h}$ out of the given classical data and a given star product $\star$. The case of a line bundle $E = L$ plays a particularly interesting role as this corresponds exactly to (complex) scalar fields.

2 Deformation of Projective Modules

There are several different ways to construct deformed versions of a Hermitian vector bundle. We shall focus on a rather general algebraic construction before discussing the other possibilities. Fundamental is the well-known *Serre-Swan theorem* [32] in its smooth version: The $C^\infty(M)$ -module of sections $\Gamma^\infty(E)$ is a finitely generated projective module. Moreover, the $C^\infty(M)$ -linear module endomorphisms are just the sections of the endomorphism bundle, i.e. $\Gamma^\infty(\text{End}(E)) = \text{End}_{C^\infty(M)}(\Gamma^\infty(E))$. Hence one finds a projection $P_0 = P_0^2 \in M_N(C^\infty(M))$ where N is sufficiently large, such that

$$\Gamma^\infty(E) \cong P_0 C^\infty(M)^N \quad \text{and} \quad \Gamma^\infty(\text{End}(E)) \cong P_0 M_N(C^\infty(M)) P_0. \quad (12)$$

If E is equipped with a Hermitian fiber metric h_0 then one can even find a Hermitian projection $P_0 = P_0^2 = P_0^*$ such that with the identification of (12) the Hermitian fiber metric becomes

$$h_0(\phi, \psi) = \sum_{i=1}^N \bar{\phi}_i \psi_i, \quad (13)$$

where $\phi = (\phi_1, \dots, \phi_N)$, $\psi = (\psi_1, \dots, \psi_N) \in C^\infty(M)^N$ are elements in $P_0 C^\infty(M)^N$, i.e. they satisfy $P_0 \phi = \phi$, $P_0 \psi = \psi$.

It is worth to look at this situation in general. Thus let $\mathcal{A}$ be an associative algebra over a ring $\mathbb{C}$ and let $\star$ be an associative formal deformation of $\mathcal{A}$. We denote the deformed algebra by $\mathcal{A} = (\mathcal{A}[[\lambda]], \star)$. Now let $\mathcal{E}$ be a finitely generated projective right module over $\mathcal{A}$ and let $\text{End}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{E})$ denote the $\mathcal{A}$ -linear endomorphisms of $\mathcal{E}$. Then one has the following result, see [5]:

Theorem 1 *There exists a deformation $\bullet$ of $\mathcal{E}$ into a $\mathcal{A}$ -right module $(\mathcal{E}[[\lambda]], \bullet)$ which is unique up to equivalence such that $(\mathcal{E}[[\lambda]], \bullet)$ is finitely generated and projective over $\mathcal{A}$ and $\text{End}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{E}[[\lambda]], \bullet)$ is isomorphic as $\mathbb{C}[[\lambda]]$ -module to $\text{End}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{E})[[\lambda]]$.*

Equivalence of two deformations $\bullet$ and $\tilde{\bullet}$ means that there is a map $T = \text{id} + \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \lambda^r T_r$ with $T(\phi \bullet a) = T(\phi) \tilde{\bullet} a$ for all $\phi \in \mathcal{E}[[\lambda]]$ and $a \in \mathcal{A}[[\lambda]]$.

The idea of the proof consists in first deforming the projection P_0 into a projection P with respect to the deformed product $\star$ by using the formula [17, Eq. (6.1.4)]

$$P = \frac{1}{2} + \left(P_0 - \frac{1}{2}\right) \star \frac{1}{\sqrt[4]{1 + 4(P_0 \star P_0 - P_0)}}. \quad (14)$$

Then the $\mathcal{A}$ -right module $P \star \mathcal{A}^N$ is obviously a finitely generated and projective $\mathcal{A}$ -module and it turns out that it is isomorphic to $\mathcal{E}[[\lambda]]$ as $\mathbb{C}[[\lambda]]$ -module. Then the uniqueness of the deformation $\bullet$ up to equivalence follows from the fact the $P \star \mathcal{A}^N$ is projective again. Indeed, let $\mathcal{E}$ be endowed with the trivial $\mathcal{A}$ right module structure given by $\phi \cdot a = \phi a_0$ for $\phi \in \mathcal{E}$ and $a = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \lambda^r a_r \in \mathcal{A}[[\lambda]]$. Then the classical limit map $\text{cl} : (\mathcal{E}[[\lambda]], \bullet) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{E}, \cdot)$ (setting $\lambda = 0$) is a module morphism for $\mathcal{A}$ right modules. The same holds for any other deformation $\tilde{\bullet}$. Since the deformation $\bullet$ is projective and since cl is obviously surjective this means we can find a module morphism $T : (\mathcal{E}[[\lambda]], \bullet) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{E}[[\lambda]], \tilde{\bullet})$ such that $\text{cl} \circ T = \text{cl}$. This implies $T = \text{id} + \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \lambda^r T_r$ whence we have found an equivalence, see [5] for details.

In particular, the choice of a $\mathbb{C}[[\lambda]]$ -linear isomorphism between $\text{End}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{E}[[\lambda]], \bullet)$ and $\text{End}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{E})[[\lambda]]$ induces a new *deformed* multiplication $\star'$ for $\text{End}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{E})[[\lambda]]$ together with a new module multiplication $\bullet'$ for $\mathcal{E}[[\lambda]]$ such that $(\mathcal{E}[[\lambda]], \bullet', \bullet)$ becomes a $(\text{End}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{E})[[\lambda]], \star')\text{-}\mathcal{A}$ bimodule.

Remark 2 1. Since $(\mathcal{E}[[\lambda]], \bullet)$ is unique up to equivalence the deformation $\star'$ is unique up to *isomorphism* since $\text{End}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{E}[[\lambda]], \bullet)$ is fixed. One can even obtain a $\star'$ which is unique up to equivalence if one imposes $\bullet'$ to be a *deformation* of the original left module structure.

Otherwise, if $\star'$ is such a deformation and Φ is an automorphism of the undeformed algebra $\text{End}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{E})$ then

$$A \star^{\Phi} B := \Phi(\Phi^{-1} A \star' \Phi^{-1} B) \quad (15)$$

yields another isomorphic but not necessarily equivalent deformation of $\text{End}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{E})$ allowing for a bimodule structure as above.

2. In general, there is an obstruction on $\star'$ to allow such a bimodule deformation $\bullet'$ for a given fixed $\star$ (and hence $\bullet$) as the algebra structure has to be isomorphic to $\text{End}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{E}[[\lambda]], \bullet)$.
3. By analogous arguments as above one can also show the existence and uniqueness up to isometries of deformations of Hermitian fiber metrics [5].
4. In physical terms: noncommutative field theories on a classical vector bundle always exist and are even uniquely determined by the underlying deformation of the space-time, at least up to equivalence. Morally, this can be seen as the deeper reason for the existence of Seiberg-Witten maps.

Let us now mention two other constructions leading to deformed vector bundles. It is clear that the above argument has strong algebraic power but is of little use when one wants more explicit formulas as even the classical projections P_0 describing a given vector bundle $E \rightarrow M$ are typically rather in-explicit. The following two constructions provide more explicit formulas:

1. Jurčo, Schupp, and Wess [22] considered the case of a *line bundle* $L \rightarrow M$ with connection ∇^L and an arbitrary Poisson structure θ on M . Here one can use first the Kontsevich star product quantizing θ by use of a global formality map. Second, one can use the same formality map together with the connection ∇^L to construct $\bullet$, $\star'$ and $\bullet'$ as well. The construction depends on the choice of a global formality. One also obtains a Seiberg-Witten map using the formality.

2. In [36] we considered the case of a symplectic manifold with arbitrary vector bundle $E \rightarrow M$. Given a symplectic connection ∇ , Fedosov's construction yields a star product $\star$ for M , see e.g. [17]. Using a connection ∇^E for E one obtains $\bullet$, $\star'$ and $\bullet'$ depending even functorially on the initial data of the connections. Hence one obtains a very explicit and geometric construction this way.

For both approaches one can show that the resulting deformations $\bullet$, $\star'$ and $\bullet'$ can be chosen to be *local*, i.e. the deformations are formal power series in bidifferential operators acting on functions, sections and endomorphisms, respectively. Thus one can 'localize' and restrict to open subsets $U \subseteq M$. If in particular one has a good open cover $\{U_\alpha\}$ of M then $E|_{U_\alpha}$ becomes a *trivial* vector bundle. Since the deformation is unique up to equivalence the restricted deformation $\bullet_\alpha$ has to be equivalent to the trivial deformation of a trivial bundle. This way one arrives at a description of $\bullet$, $\bullet'$ and $\star'$ in terms of *transition matrices* $\Phi_{\alpha\beta}$ satisfying a *deformed cocycle identity*

$$\Phi_{\alpha\beta} \star \Phi_{\beta\gamma} \star \Phi_{\gamma\alpha} = \mathbb{1} \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi_{\alpha\beta} \star \Phi_{\beta\alpha} = \mathbb{1} \quad (16)$$

on non-trivial overlaps of U_α , U_β , and U_γ . Here $\Phi_{\alpha\beta} = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \lambda^r \Phi_{\alpha\beta}^{(r)} \in M_k(C^\infty(U_{\alpha\beta}))[[\lambda]]$ and the $\Phi_{\alpha\beta}^{(0)}$ are the classical transition matrices. Conversely, if one finds a deformation (16) of the classical cocycle then one can construct a deformation of the vector bundle out of it. This can be seen as a *Quantum Serre-Swan Theorem*, see [37]. We conclude this section with a few further remarks:

Remark 3 1. Since the finitely generated projective modules $\mathcal{E}$ over $\mathcal{A}$ give the K_0 -theory of the algebra $\mathcal{A}$ and since any such $\mathcal{E}$ can be deformed in a unique way up to equivalence and since clearly any finitely generated projective module over $\mathcal{A}$ arises this way up to isomorphism one finally obtains that the classical limit map cl induces an *isomorphism*

$$\text{cl}_* : K_0(\mathcal{A}) \xrightarrow{\cong} K_0(\mathcal{A}). \quad (17)$$

Thus K -theory is stable under formal deformations [29].

2. If $\int : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[[\lambda]]$ is a trace functional, i.e.

$$\int a \star b = \int b \star a, \quad (18)$$

then $\text{ind} : K_0(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[[\lambda]]$ defined by

$$[\mathbf{P}] \mapsto \int \text{tr}(\mathbf{P}) \quad (19)$$

gives a well-defined group morphism and for a fixed choice of $\int$ the *index* $\text{ind}(\mathbf{P})$ depends only on the classical class $[P_0]$. In case of deformation quantization this yields the *index theorems of deformation quantization* where one has explicit formulas for $\text{ind}(\mathbf{P})$ in terms of geometric data of E , M and the equivalence class $[\star]$ of the star product, see Fedosov's book for the symplectic case [17] as well as Nest and Tsygan [25, 26] and the work of Tamarkin and Tsygan for the Poisson case [34].

3. In the connected symplectic case the trace functional $\int$ is unique up to normalization [25] and given by a deformation of the integration over M with respect to the Liouville measure. In the Poisson case one may have many different trace functionals, see e.g. [4].
4. Physically, such trace functionals are needed for the formulation of gauge invariant action functionals which are used to define dynamics for the noncommutative field theories. Recall that the structure of a deformed vector bundle is only the kinematical framework.

3 Morita Equivalence

Let us now discuss how Morita theory enters the picture of deformed vector bundles. Vector bundles do not only correspond to projective modules but the projections $P_0 \in M_N(C^\infty(M))$ are always *full projections* which means that the ideal in $C^\infty(M)$ generated by the components $(P_0)_{ij}$ is the whole algebra $C^\infty(M)$. We exclude the trivial case $P_0 = 0$ from our discussion in order to avoid trivialities. Then the following statement is implied by general Morita theory, see e.g. [24] as well as [5].

Theorem 4 *The bimodule $\mathcal{E} = \Gamma^\infty(E)$ is actually a Morita equivalence bimodule for the algebras $C^\infty(M)$ and $\Gamma^\infty(\text{End}(E))$. In particular, these algebras are Morita equivalent.*

In the general algebraic case, it is easy to check that the deformation $\mathbf{P}$ of a full projection P_0 is again full whence we conclude that $(\mathcal{E}[[\lambda]], \bullet, \bullet')$ is a Morita equivalence bimodule for the algebras $(\mathcal{A}[[\lambda]], \star)$ and $(\text{End}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{E})[[\lambda]], \star')$ and the later two algebras are Morita equivalent. Moreover, any Morita equivalence bimodule between the deformed algebras arises as such a deformation of a classical Morita equivalence bimodule up to isomorphism, see e.g. [7] for a detailed discussion. Since the deformation $\star'$ was already fixed up to isomorphism by the classical right module structure of $\mathcal{E}$, one has to expect obstructions that an a priori given deformation $\tilde{\star}$ of $\text{End}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{E})$ is Morita equivalent to the deformation $\star$ of $\mathcal{A}$. These obstructions make the classification of the Morita equivalent deformations difficult in the general framework. We shall come back to this effect when considering the Picard groupoid.

However, for symplectic star products one has the following explicit classification of Morita equivalent star products [8], see also [22] for a related statement in the Poisson case. Note that for star products $\star$ and $\star'$ we want the endomorphisms $\Gamma^\infty(\text{End}(E))$ classically to be isomorphic to the functions $C^\infty(M)$ whence the Morita equivalence bimodules arise as deformations of *line bundles*.

Theorem 5 *Let (M, ω) be symplectic. Then two star products $\star$ and $\star'$ are Morita equivalent if and only if there exists a symplectic diffeomorphism $\psi : M \rightarrow M$ such that*

$$\psi^* c(\star') - c(\star) \in 2\pi i H_{\text{dR}}^2(M, \mathbb{Z}), \quad (20)$$

where $c(\star) \in \frac{[\omega]}{i\lambda} + H_{\text{dR}}^2(M, \mathbb{C})[[\lambda]]$ is the characteristic class of $\star$. The equivalence bimodule can be obtained by deforming a line bundle $L \rightarrow M$ whose Chern class $c_1(L)$ is given by the above integer class.

The most suitable definition of the characteristic class of a symplectic star product which is used in this theorem is the Čech cohomological description as it can be found in [19]. Then the first proof in [8] consists in examining the deformed transition functions (16). In the approach of [36] using Fedosov's construction there is an almost trivial proof for the above theorem as the Chern class of the line bundle L can be build into the Fedosov construction as a curvature term of a connection ∇^L on L directly.

The additional diffeomorphism ψ is necessary as $\star'$ is only determined by L up to isomorphism and not up to equivalence as this is encoded in the characteristic class.

Remark 6 There is even a stronger result: For $\ast$ -algebras one has a notion of *strong Morita equivalence* [6] which is a generalization of Rieffel's notion of strong Morita equivalence for $C^\ast$ -algebras [28]. Applying this for Hermitian star products, i.e. those with $\overline{f} \star g = \overline{g} \star \overline{f}$, one has the statement that two Hermitian star products are strongly Morita equivalent if and only if they are Morita equivalent [8, Thm. 2]. One uses a deformed Hermitian fiber metric in order to get this

stronger result. Physically, this is the relevant notion of Morita equivalence as one also needs to keep track of the $*$ -involutions and positivity requirements as we have discussed above. Thanks to [8, Thm. 2], we can focus on the purely ring-theoretical Morita theory without restriction.

4 The Picard Groupoid

In this last section we shall consider the question in ‘how many ways’ two Morita equivalent algebras can actually be Morita equivalent. In particular, we want to investigate how Morita equivalence bimodules behave under formal deformations.

First we note that this is physically an important questions since we have already seen that the algebra $C^\infty(M)$ and the algebra $\Gamma^\infty(\text{End}(E))$, which encodes the gauge transformations, are Morita equivalent via the sections $\Gamma^\infty(E)$ of the vector bundle E . Thus the above question wants to answer how many ‘different’ vector bundles, i.e. field theories, one can find which allow for such a bimodule structure for the same algebra of gauge transformations.

To formulate these questions one uses the following definitions for unital algebras $\mathcal{A}$, $\mathcal{B}$, $\dots$ over some ring $\mathbb{C}$:

Definition 7 Let $\underline{\text{Pic}}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ denote the category of $\mathcal{A}$ - $\mathcal{B}$ Morita equivalence bimodules with bimodule homomorphisms as morphisms. The set of isomorphism classes of bimodules in $\underline{\text{Pic}}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ is denoted by $\text{Pic}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$.

From Morita theory we know that $\mathcal{E} \in \underline{\text{Pic}}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ is a finitely generated and projective module whence the isomorphism classes are a set indeed.

It is a well-known fact that tensoring equivalence bimodules gives again an equivalence bimodule. Hence if $\mathcal{E} \in \underline{\text{Pic}}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ and $\mathcal{F} \in \underline{\text{Pic}}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C})$ then $\mathcal{E} \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{F} \in \underline{\text{Pic}}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C})$. Moreover, it is clear that this tensor product is compatible with the notion of isomorphisms of equivalence bimodules. Thus this gives a composition law

$$\otimes : \text{Pic}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) \times \text{Pic}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}) \longrightarrow \text{Pic}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}). \quad (21)$$

Then the tensor product is associative on the level of isomorphism classes, whenever the composition is defined. We also note that the trivial self-equivalence bimodule $\mathcal{A}$ behaves like a unit with respect to $\otimes$, at least on the level of isomorphism classes. Finally, the dual module to $\mathcal{E}$ gives an inverse whence we eventually end up with a *groupoid structure*, called the *Picard groupoid* $\text{Pic}(\cdot, \cdot)$. The units are just trivial self-equivalence bimodules and the spaces of arrows are just the $\text{Pic}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$. The isotropy groups of this groupoid are the *Picard groups* $\text{Pic}(\mathcal{A}) = \text{Pic}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A})$, see e.g. [24, 1].

After this excursion let us now focus again on the deformation problem. Assume $\mathcal{A} = (\mathcal{A}[[\lambda]], \star)$ and $\mathcal{B} = (\mathcal{B}[[\lambda]], \star')$ are associative deformations such that the resulting algebras are Morita equivalent. Then $\underline{\text{Pic}}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ is non-empty and any $\mathcal{E}$ is isomorphic to a deformation $(\mathcal{E}[[\lambda]], \bullet, \bullet')$ of an equivalence bimodule $\mathcal{E}$ of the undeformed algebras $\mathcal{A}$, $\mathcal{B}$. In particular, $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ have to be Morita equivalent, too. Moreover, $\mathcal{E}$ is uniquely determined up to isomorphism whence one eventually obtains a well-defined *classical limit map*

$$\text{cl}_* : \text{Pic}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) \longrightarrow \text{Pic}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}). \quad (22)$$

It is easy to see that the classical limit map behaves well with respect to tensor products of bimodules whence on the level of isomorphism classes we obtain a groupoid morphism, see [7] where the case of the group morphism is discussed:

Proposition 8 *The classical limit map cl_* is a groupoid morphism. In particular,*

$$\text{cl}_* : \text{Pic}(\mathcal{A}) \longrightarrow \text{Pic}(\mathcal{A}) \quad (23)$$

is a group morphism.

Note that this is a very similar situation as for the K -theory (17). However, here cl_* is far from being an isomorphism in general. Thus we would like to find a description of the kernel and the image of the map cl_* , at least for the cases where $\mathcal{A}$ is commutative.

For the kernel one obtains the following characterization. Let

$$\text{Equiv}(\mathcal{A}) = \left\{ T = \text{id} + \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \lambda T_r \mid T \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{A}) \right\} \quad (24)$$

denote the *self-equivalences* of the deformed algebra. Since we assume that the undeformed algebra $\mathcal{A}$ is commutative, the inner automorphisms of $\mathcal{A}$ are necessarily self-equivalences. Thus one can define the group of outer self-equivalences

$$\text{OutEquiv}(\mathcal{A}) = \frac{\text{Equiv}(\mathcal{A})}{\text{InnAut}(\mathcal{A})}. \quad (25)$$

Then one has

$$\ker \text{cl}_* \cong \text{OutEquiv}(\mathcal{A}) \quad (26)$$

as groups [7, Cor. 3.11].

In the case of star products one can describe $\ker \text{cl}_*$ even more explicitly. Assume that $\star$ is a star product on (M, π) with the property that any π -central function can be deformed into a $\star$ -central function and any π -derivation can be deformed into a $\star$ -derivation. There are many star products which actually have this property, e.g. all symplectic star product, the Kontsevich star product for a formal Poisson structure which is equal to the classical one and the star products constructed in [9, 10]. Under these assumptions one has [7, Thm. 7.1]

$$\text{OutEquiv}(\star) \cong \frac{H_{\pi}^1(M, \mathbb{C})}{2\pi i H_{\pi}^1(M, \mathbb{Z})} + \lambda H_{\pi}^1(M, \mathbb{C})[[\lambda]] \quad (27)$$

as *sets*, where $H_{\pi}^1(M, \mathbb{C})$ denotes the first complex Poisson cohomology of (M, π) and $H_{\pi}^1(M, \mathbb{Z})$ the first integral Poisson cohomology, i.e. the image of the integral deRham classes under the natural map $H_{\text{dR}}^1(M, \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow H_{\pi}^1(M, \mathbb{C})$.

The identification above is even a *group isomorphism* for symplectic star products where the right hand side is endowed with its canonical abelian group structure. However, in the general Poisson case the group structure on the left hand side is nonabelian.

The situation for the image of the classical limit map cl_* is more mysterious [7]: From the condition (20) one obtains that the torsion line bundles are always in the image in the case of symplectic star products. However, there are examples where the image contains also non-torsion elements and it seems to depend strongly on the example how big the image actually can be. In the Poisson case even less is known.

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank the organizers of the workshop for their excellent working conditions and warm hospitality. Moreover, I would like to thank the participants for their remarks, ideas and suggestions on the topic as well as Henrique Bursztyn and Stefan Jansen for comments on the manuscript.

References

- [1] BASS, H.: *Algebraic K-theory*. W. A. Benjamin, Inc., New York, Amsterdam, 1968.
- [2] BAYEN, F., FLATO, M., FRØNSDAL, C., LICHNEROWICZ, A., STERNHEIMER, D.: *Deformation Theory and Quantization*. Ann. Phys. **111** (1978), 61–151.
- [3] BERTELSON, M., CAHEN, M., GUTT, S.: *Equivalence of Star Products*. Class. Quant. Grav. **14** (1997), A93–A107.
- [4] BIELIAVSKY, P., BORDEMANN, M., GUTT, S., WALDMANN, S.: *Traces for star products on the dual of a Lie algebra*. Preprint (Freiburg FR-THEP 2002/2) **math.QA/0202126** (February 2002), 18 pages. To appear in Rev. Math. Phys.
- [5] BURSZTYN, H., WALDMANN, S.: *Deformation Quantization of Hermitian Vector Bundles*. Lett. Math. Phys. **53** (2000), 349–365.
- [6] BURSZTYN, H., WALDMANN, S.: *Algebraic Rieffel Induction, Formal Morita Equivalence and Applications to Deformation Quantization*. J. Geom. Phys. **37** (2001), 307–364.
- [7] BURSZTYN, H., WALDMANN, S.: *Bimodule deformations, Picard groups and contravariant connections*. Preprint (Freiburg FR-THEP 2002/10) **math.QA/0207255** (July 2002), 32 pages.
- [8] BURSZTYN, H., WALDMANN, S.: *The characteristic classes of Morita equivalent star products on symplectic manifolds*. Commun. Math. Phys. **228** (2002), 103–121.
- [9] CATTANEO, A. S., FELDER, G., TOMASSINI, L.: *Fedosov connections on jet bundles and deformation quantization*. In: HALBOUT, G. (EDS.): *Deformation quantization*. [20], 191–202.
- [10] CATTANEO, A. S., FELDER, G., TOMASSINI, L.: *From local to global deformation quantization of Poisson manifolds*. Duke Math. J. **115.2** (2002), 329–352.
- [11] CONNES, A.: *Noncommutative Geometry*. Academic Press, San Diego, New York, London, 1994.
- [12] CONNES, A., DOUGLAS, M. R., SCHWARZ, A.: *Noncommutative geometry and matrix theory: compactification on tori*. J. High Energy Phys. **02** (1998), 003.
- [13] DELIGNE, P.: *Déformations de l’Algèbre des Fonctions d’une Variété Symplectique: Comparaison entre Fedosov et DeWilde, Lecomte*. Sel. Math. New Series **1.4** (1995), 667–697.
- [14] DEWILDE, M., LECOMTE, P. B. A.: *Existence of Star-Products and of Formal Deformations of the Poisson Lie Algebra of Arbitrary Symplectic Manifolds*. Lett. Math. Phys. **7** (1983), 487–496.
- [15] DITO, G., STERNHEIMER, D.: *Deformation quantization: genesis, developments and metamorphoses*. In: HALBOUT, G. (EDS.): *Deformation quantization*. [20], 9–54.
- [16] DOPLICHER, S., FREDENHAGEN, K., ROBERTS, J. E.: *The Quantum Structure of Spacetime at the Planck Scale and Quantum Fields*. Commun. Math. Phys. **172** (1995), 187–220.
- [17] FEDOSOV, B. V.: *Deformation Quantization and Index Theory*. Akademie Verlag, Berlin, 1996.
- [18] GUTT, S.: *Variations on deformation quantization*. In: DITO, G., STERNHEIMER, D. (EDS.): *Conférence Moshé Flato 1999. Quantization, Deformations, and Symmetries, Mathematical Physics Studies* no. **21**, 217–254. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, Boston, London, 2000.
- [19] GUTT, S., RAWNSLEY, J.: *Equivalence of star products on a symplectic manifold; an introduction to Deligne’s Čech cohomology classes*. J. Geom. Phys. **29** (1999), 347–392.
- [20] HALBOUT, G. (EDS.): *Deformation Quantization*, vol. 1 in *IRMA Lectures in Mathematics and Theoretical Physics*. Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, New York, 2002.
- [21] JURČO, B., SCHUPP, P., WESS, J.: *Noncommutative gauge theory for Poisson manifolds*. Nucl. Phys. **B584** (2000), 784–794.
- [22] JURČO, B., SCHUPP, P., WESS, J.: *Noncommutative Line Bundles and Morita Equivalence*. Lett. Math. Phys. **61** (2002), 171–186.
- [23] KONTSEVICH, M.: *Deformation Quantization of Poisson Manifolds, I*. Preprint **q-alg/9709040** (September 1997).
- [24] LAM, T. Y.: *Lectures on Modules and Rings*, vol. 189 in *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1999.
- [25] NEST, R., TSYGAN, B.: *Algebraic Index Theorem*. Commun. Math. Phys. **172** (1995), 223–262.
- [26] NEST, R., TSYGAN, B.: *Algebraic Index Theorem for Families*. Adv. Math. **113** (1995), 151–205.

- [27] OMORI, H., MAEDA, Y., YOSHIOKA, A.: *Weyl Manifolds and Deformation Quantization*. Adv. Math. **85** (1991), 224–255.
- [28] RIEFFEL, M. A.: *Morita equivalence for C^* -algebras and W^* -algebras*. J. Pure. Appl. Math. **5** (1974), 51–96.
- [29] ROSENBERG, J.: *Rigidity of K -theory under deformation quantization*. Preprint **q-alg/9607021** (July 1996).
- [30] SCHOMERUS, V.: *D-branes and deformation quantization*. J. High. Energy. Phys. **06** (1999), 030.
- [31] SEIBERG, N., WITTEN, E.: *String Theory and Noncommutative Geometry*. J. High. Energy Phys. **09** (1999), 032.
- [32] SWAN, R. G.: *Vector bundles and projective modules*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **105** (1962), 264–277.
- [33] SZABO, R.: *Quantum Field Theory on Noncommutative Spaces*. Preprint **hep-th/0109162** (September 2001), 111 pages. To appear in Phys. Rep.
- [34] TAMARKIN, D., TSYGAN, B.: *Cyclic Formality and Index Theorems*. Lett. Math. Phys. **56** (2001), 85–97.
- [35] THIRRING, W.: *Klassische Feldtheorie*, vol. 2 in *Lehrbuch der Mathematischen Physik*. Springer-Verlag, Wien, New York, 2. edition, 1990.
- [36] WALDMANN, S.: *Morita equivalence of Fedosov star products and deformed Hermitian vector bundles*. Lett. Math. Phys. **60** (2002), 157–170.
- [37] WALDMANN, S.: *On the representation theory of deformation quantization*. In: HALBOUT, G. (EDS.): *Deformation quantization*. [20], 107–133.
- [38] WEINSTEIN, A., XU, P.: *Hochschild cohomology and characteristic classes for star-products*. In: KHOVANSKIJ, A., VARCHENKO, A., VASSILIEV, V. (EDS.): *Geometry of differential equations. Dedicated to V. I. Arnold on the occasion of his 60th birthday*, 177–194. American Mathematical Society, Providence, 1998.